

4.2 Tests of Structural Changes

This section focuses on Chow Test and leaves general discussion on dummy variable models to other section.

4.2.1 Chow Test: Simple Example¹

Chow Test examines whether parameters of one group of the data are equal to those of other groups. Simply put, the test checks whether the data can be pooled. If only intercepts are different across groups, this is a fixed effect model, which is simple to handle. Let us consider two groups.

$$\begin{aligned} y &= \alpha + \beta x + \varepsilon && \text{for all observations} \\ y &= \alpha_1 + \beta_1 x + \varepsilon_1 && \text{for } n_1 \text{ observations (group 1)} \\ y &= \alpha_2 + \beta_2 x + \varepsilon_2 && \text{for } n_2 \text{ observations (group 2)} \end{aligned}$$

The null hypothesis is $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ and $\beta_1 = \beta_2$. If the null hypothesis is rejected, two groups have different slopes and intercepts; data are not poolable.

$$F(J, n_1 + n_2 - 2K) = \frac{(e'e - e_1'e_1 - e_2'e_2)/J}{(e_1'e_1 + e_2'e_2)/(n_1 + n_2 - 2K)} = \frac{(SSE - SSE_1 - SSE_2)/J}{(SSE_1 + SSE_2)/(n_1 + n_2 - 2K)} \text{ where}$$

$e'e$ is the SSE of the pooled model and J is the number of restrictions (often equal to K —all parameters).²

In order to conduct the Chow test,

1. Run pooled OLS to get SSE_{pooled}
2. Run separate OLS to get SSE_1 and SSE_2
3. Apply the formula

In the following example, we assume that two groups have difference slopes of `cost` and different intercepts; there are two restrictions, $J=2$.

```
. use http://www.indiana.edu/~statmath/stat/all/panel/airline.dta, clear
(Cost of U.S. Airlines (Greene 2003))

. gen d1=(airline<=2)
. gen d0=(d1==0)
. gen d2=(airline>=2 & airline<=4)
. gen d3 =(airline>=5)

. gen cost0=cost*d0
. gen cost1=cost*d1
. gen cost2=cost*d2
. gen cost3=cost*d3
```

First, fit the pooled model.

¹ Greene 2003 (289-291), <http://www.stata.com/support/faqs/stat/chow.html>

² If we want to test the null hypothesis that only intercept is different, J will be $K-1$ (all the slopes are equal)

```
. regress output cost // pooled model
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	90
Model	107.123089	1	107.123089	F(1, 88) =	880.73
Residual	10.7034329	88	.12162992	Prob > F =	0.0000
				R-squared =	0.9092
				Adj R-squared =	0.9081
Total	117.826522	89	1.32389351	Root MSE =	.34875

output	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
cost	.9691952	.032658	29.68	0.000	.9042942 1.034096
_cons	-14.12819	.4380397	-32.25	0.000	-14.99871 -13.25768

And then fit separate models using two subsets of data.

```
. regress output cost if d1==0 // if airline >= 3
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	60
Model	30.7715	1	30.7715	F(1, 58) =	314.51
Residual	5.6746959	58	.097839584	Prob > F =	0.0000
				R-squared =	0.8443
				Adj R-squared =	0.8416
Total	36.4461959	59	.617732134	Root MSE =	.31279

output	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
cost	.8454175	.047671	17.73	0.000	.7499936 .9408413
_cons	-12.64286	.610876	-20.70	0.000	-13.86566 -11.42006

```
. regress output cost if d1==1 // if airline <= 2
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	30
Model	2.80505683	1	2.80505683	F(1, 28) =	166.32
Residual	.472224836	28	.016865173	Prob > F =	0.0000
				R-squared =	0.8559
				Adj R-squared =	0.8508
Total	3.27728166	29	.113009712	Root MSE =	.12987

output	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
cost	.5144647	.0398915	12.90	0.000	.4327507 .5961787
_cons	-7.328991	.5798709	-12.64	0.000	-8.516802 -6.141179

$$F = \frac{(e'e - e_1'e_1 - e_2'e_2)/J}{(e_1'e_1 + e_2'e_2)/(n_1 + n_2 - 2K)} = \frac{(10.7034 - 5.6747 - .4722)/2}{(5.6747 + .4722)/(60 + 30 - 2*2)} = 31.8745$$

```
. di ((10.7034329 - .472224836 - 5.6746959)/2)/((.472224836 + 5.6746959)/(30+60-2*2))
31.8745
```

```
. di Ftail(2,86,31.8745)
4.393e-11
```

The large F 31.8745 (2, 68) rejects the null hypothesis of equal slope and intercept ($p < .0000$).

Alternatively, you may regress y on two dummies and two interaction terms with the intercept suppressed.³ Parameter estimates are identical to those of the above, while standard errors are

³ Therefore, R^2 and standard errors are not reliable.

different. This estimation is handy since parameter estimates are slopes and intercepts of individual groups; any further computation is not need at all.

```
. regress output cost0 d0 cost1 d1, noconstant
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	90
Model	235.789788	4	58.9474471	F(4, 86) =	824.72
Residual	6.14692073	86	.071475822	Prob > F =	0.0000
Total	241.936709	90	2.68818566	R-squared =	0.9746
				Adj R-squared =	0.9734
				Root MSE =	.26735

output	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
cost0	.8454175	.0407452	20.75	0.000	.7644187 .9264162
d0	-12.64286	.522126	-24.21	0.000	-13.68081 -11.60491
cost1	.5144647	.0821229	6.26	0.000	.3512098 .6777197
d1	-7.328991	1.193756	-6.14	0.000	-9.702098 -4.955883

```
. test _b[cost0]=_b[cost1]
( 1) cost0 - cost1 = 0

F( 1, 86) = 13.03
Prob > F = 0.0005
```

```
. test _b[d0]=_b[d1], accum
( 1) cost0 - cost1 = 0
( 2) d0 - d1 = 0

F( 2, 86) = 31.87
Prob > F = 0.0000
```

More convenient way is to regress y on a regressor of interest, $cost$, an interaction term, and a dummy with the intercept included. The intercept is the intercept of the baseline group and the dummy coefficient is the deviation from the baseline intercept. The coefficient of the regressor is the slope of the baseline, while the coefficient of the interaction term is the deviation of slope from the baseline slope. That is, the intercept of compared group is 7.3290 ($=5.3139-12.6429$) and the slope is .5145 ($=-.3310+.8454$).

```
. regress output cost cost1 d1
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	90
Model	111.679602	3	37.2265339	F(3, 86) =	520.83
Residual	6.14692073	86	.071475822	Prob > F =	0.0000
Total	117.826522	89	1.32389351	R-squared =	0.9478
				Adj R-squared =	0.9460
				Root MSE =	.26735

output	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
cost	.8454175	.0407452	20.75	0.000	.7644187 .9264162
cost1	-.3309528	.0916752	-3.61	0.001	-.513197 -.1487085
d1	5.31387	1.302946	4.08	0.000	2.723699 7.904041
_cons	-12.64286	.522126	-24.21	0.000	-13.68081 -11.60491

```
. test _b[cost1]=0
( 1) cost1 = 0

F( 1, 86) = 13.03
Prob > F = 0.0005
```

```
. test _b[d1]=0, accum
( 1) cost1 = 0
( 2) d1 = 0

F( 2, 86) = 31.87
Prob > F = 0.0000
```

4.2.2 Chow Test: Comparing Three Groups

What if we want to compare three groups? Let us fit two remaining models for group 2 and 3. Restrictions here are 1) slop2 is equal to the baseline slope (slop1), 2) slope3 is equal to the baseline slope, 3) intercept2 is equal to the baseline intercept, and 4) intercept3 is equal to the baseline intercept. Degrees of freedom is $84 = N - (\text{group} * K) = 90 - 3 * 2$.

```
. regress output cost if d2==1
```

Source	SS	df	MS			
Model	4.48338312	1	4.48338312	Number of obs =	30	
Residual	2.91859218	28	.104235435	F(1, 28) =	43.01	
Total	7.4019753	29	.255240528	Prob > F =	0.0000	
				R-squared =	0.6057	
				Adj R-squared =	0.5916	
				Root MSE =	.32286	

output	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
cost	.6205532	.0946202	6.56	0.000	.4267326	.8143739
_cons	-9.498564	1.255486	-7.57	0.000	-12.07031	-6.926819

```
. regress output cost if d3==1
```

Source	SS	df	MS			
Model	9.93465282	1	9.93465282	Number of obs =	30	
Residual	.340168734	28	.012148883	F(1, 28) =	817.74	
Total	10.2748216	29	.354304191	Prob > F =	0.0000	
				R-squared =	0.9669	
				Adj R-squared =	0.9657	
				Root MSE =	.11022	

output	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
cost	.7370425	.0257742	28.60	0.000	.6842466	.7898385
_cons	-11.47175	.3181417	-36.06	0.000	-12.12344	-10.82007

$$F = \frac{(e_1'e - e_1'e_1 - e_2'e_2 - e_3'e_3) / J}{(e_1'e_1 + e_2'e_2 + e_3'e_3) / (n_1 + n_2 + n_3 - gK)} = \frac{(10.703 - .472 - 2.919 - .340) / 4}{(.472 + 2.919 + .340) / (30 + 30 + 30 - 3 * 2)} = 39.245$$

```
. di ((10.7034329 - .472224836 - 2.91859218 - .340168734) / 4) / (((.472224836 + 2.91859218 + .340168734) / (30 + 30 + 30 - 3 * 2)))
39.244693
```

```
. di Ftail(4, 84, 39.244693)
1.696e-18
```

Now, include all interaction terms and dummies without the regressor of interest. The model report all parameter estimates, which are identical to the above.

```
. regress output cost1 d1 cost2 d2 cost3 d3, noconstant
```

Source	SS	df	MS			
Model	238.205723	6	39.7009539	Number of obs =	90	
				F(6, 84) =	893.83	
				Prob > F =	0.0000	

Residual		3.73098575	84	.044416497		R-squared	=	0.9846
-----						Adj R-squared	=	0.9835
Total		241.936709	90	2.68818566		Root MSE	=	.21075

output		Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
cost1		.5144647	.0647376	7.95	0.000	.3857268 .6432026
d1		-7.328991	.9410399	-7.79	0.000	-9.200352 -5.457629
cost2		.6205532	.0617658	10.05	0.000	.4977251 .7433813
d2		-9.498564	.8195514	-11.59	0.000	-11.12833 -7.868796
cost3		.7370425	.049282	14.96	0.000	.6390399 .8350452
d3		-11.47175	.6083095	-18.86	0.000	-12.68144 -10.26206


```

. test _b[cost1]=_b[cost2]=_b[cost3], notest
. test _b[d1]=_b[d2]=_b[d3], accum
( 1) cost1 - cost2 = 0
( 2) cost1 - cost3 = 0
( 3) d1 - d2 = 0
( 4) d1 - d3 = 0

F( 4, 84) = 39.24
Prob > F = 0.0000

```

Finally, include the regressor, two interaction terms, and two dummies excluding baseline interaction and dummy. The coefficient of the regressor is the baseline slope and the intercept is the baseline intercept. Coefficients of interaction terms are deviations from the baseline slope. As a result, the slope of group 1 is .5144647 ($=-.2225778+.7370425$) and the intercept is -7.328991 ($=4.142762-11.47175$).

```

. regress output cost cost1 d1 cost2 d2

```

Source		SS	df	MS		Number of obs =	90
Model		114.095537	5	22.8191073		F(5, 84) =	513.75
Residual		3.73098575	84	.044416497		Prob > F =	0.0000
-----						R-squared =	0.9683
Total		117.826522	89	1.32389351		Adj R-squared =	0.9665
-----						Root MSE =	.21075

output		Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
cost		.7370425	.049282	14.96	0.000	.6390399 .8350452
cost1		-.2225778	.0813614	-2.74	0.008	-.3843739 -.0607817
d1		4.142762	1.120534	3.70	0.000	1.914458 6.371067
cost2		-.1164893	.0790173	-1.47	0.144	-.2736239 .0406453
d2		1.973189	1.020639	1.93	0.057	-1.0564649 4.002842
_cons		-11.47175	.6083095	-18.86	0.000	-12.68144 -10.26206


```

. test _b[cost1]=0, notest
. test _b[cost2]=0, accum notest
. test _b[d1]=0, accum notest
. test _b[d2]=0, accum
( 1) cost1 = 0
( 2) cost2 = 0
( 3) d1 = 0
( 4) d2 = 0

F( 4, 84) = 39.24
Prob > F = 0.0000

```

Hypothesis testing is identical to the above.

4.2.3 Chow Test: Including Covariates

Now, suppose we need to include some covariates for control, `load` and `fuel`. We may regress the dependent variable on all interactions, dummies, and covariates with the intercept suppressed. Again R^2 is not reliable here. A coefficient of an interaction term is the slope of cost of the group, just as the dummy coefficient is the intercept of the group.

```
. regress output cost1 d1 cost2 d2 cost3 d3 load fuel, noconstant
```

Source	SS	df	MS			
Model	240.323102	8	30.0403877	Number of obs =	90	
Residual	1.61360768	82	.019678142	F(8, 82) =	1526.59	
				Prob > F	= 0.0000	
				R-squared	= 0.9933	
				Adj R-squared	= 0.9927	
Total	241.936709	90	2.68818566	Root MSE	= .14028	

output	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
cost1	1.017854	.0884737	11.50	0.000	.8418518	1.193856
d1	-9.777424	.7217463	-13.55	0.000	-11.21321	-8.34164
cost2	1.070322	.0839545	12.75	0.000	.9033093	1.237334
d2	-10.56474	.5832504	-18.11	0.000	-11.72501	-9.404465
cost3	1.10826	.0672781	16.47	0.000	.9744229	1.242098
d3	-11.10194	.4070026	-27.28	0.000	-11.9116	-10.29229
load	2.041787	.398699	5.12	0.000	1.248648	2.834927
fuel	-.4733269	.0556113	-8.51	0.000	-.5839555	-.3626983

This model is written as,

$$\text{output} = -9.7774 + 1.0179 \cdot \text{cost} + 2.0418 \cdot \text{load} - .4733 \cdot \text{fuel} \quad (\text{group 1})$$

$$\text{output} = -10.5647 + 1.0703 \cdot \text{cost} + 2.0418 \cdot \text{load} - .4733 \cdot \text{fuel} \quad (\text{group 2})$$

$$\text{output} = -11.1019 + 1.1083 \cdot \text{cost} + 2.0418 \cdot \text{load} - .4733 \cdot \text{fuel} \quad (\text{group 3})$$

Let us conduct the hypothesis test for the four restrictions.

```
. test _b[cost1]=_b[cost2]=_b[cost3], notest
. test _b[d1]=_b[d2]=_b[d3], accum
( 1) cost1 - cost2 = 0
( 2) cost1 - cost3 = 0
( 3) d1 - d2 = 0
( 4) d1 - d3 = 0

F( 4, 82) = 0.86
Prob > F = 0.4923
```

We may also fit the same model including the regressor of interest and excluding baseline interaction term and its intercept. Covariates remain unchanged. Note that slope2 is 1.0703 (= .0379+1.1083) and the intercept of group 2 is -10.5647 (= .5372079-11.10194).

```
. regress output cost cost1 d1 cost2 d2 load fuel
```

Source	SS	df	MS			
Model	116.212915	7	16.6018449	Number of obs =	90	
Residual	1.61360768	82	.019678142	F(7, 82) =	843.67	
				Prob > F	= 0.0000	
				R-squared	= 0.9863	
				Adj R-squared	= 0.9851	
Total	117.826522	89	1.32389351	Root MSE	= .14028	

output	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
cost	1.10826	.0672781	16.47	0.000	.9744229	1.242098
cost1	-.0904063	.0572606	-1.58	0.118	-.2043159	.0235034
d1	1.32452	.8454028	1.57	0.121	-.3572557	3.006295

```

cost2 | -.0379388 .0545639 -0.70 0.489 -.1464838 .0706061
d2 | .5372079 .7215669 0.74 0.459 -.8982187 1.972634
load | 2.041787 .398699 5.12 0.000 1.248648 2.834927
fuel | -.4733269 .0556113 -8.51 0.000 -.5839555 -.3626983
_cons | -11.10194 .4070026 -27.28 0.000 -11.9116 -10.29229

```

```

. test _b[cost1]=0, notest
. test _b[cost2]=0, accum notest
. test _b[d1]=0, accum notest
. test _b[d2]=0, accum

```

```

( 1) cost1 = 0
( 2) cost2 = 0
( 3) d1 = 0
( 4) d2 = 0

```

```

F( 4, 82) = 0.86
Prob > F = 0.4923

```

4.2.4 Chow Test: Different Slopes of Multiple Regressors⁴

If we assume that more than one regressor have different slopes across group, model will be complicated. But the underlying logic remains same. Let us create a set of interaction terms for the regressor load.

```

. gen load1=load*d1
. gen load2=load*d2
. gen load3=load*d3

```

First, include all interactions, dummies, and covariate fuel. Do not forget the suppress the intercept.

```

. regress output cost1 load1 d1 cost2 load2 d2 cost3 load3 d3 fuel, noconstant

```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs = 90		
Model	240.344451	10	24.0344451	F(10, 80) =	1207.56	
Residual	1.59225866	80	.019903233	Prob > F =	0.0000	
Total	241.936709	90	2.68818566	R-squared =	0.9934	
				Adj R-squared =	0.9926	
				Root MSE =	.14108	

output	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
cost1	1.014764	.0975898	10.40	0.000	.8205541	1.208974
load1	1.817979	.6811907	2.67	0.009	.4623659	3.173591
d1	-9.754334	.7455903	-13.08	0.000	-11.23811	-8.270562
cost2	1.019311	.0981769	10.38	0.000	.8239324	1.214689
load2	2.661021	.7210198	3.69	0.000	1.226146	4.095896
d2	-10.38952	.6108743	-17.01	0.000	-11.6052	-9.173845
cost3	1.111908	.0687766	16.17	0.000	.9750386	1.248778
load3	1.703393	.7009252	2.43	0.017	.3085071	3.098278
d3	-11.11345	.4096207	-27.13	0.000	-11.92862	-10.29827
fuel	-.4615669	.0578651	-7.98	0.000	-.5767222	-.3464117

```

. test _b[cost1]=_b[cost2]=_b[cost3], notest
. test _b[load1]=_b[load2]=_b[load3], accum notest
. test _b[d1]=_b[d2]=_b[d3], accum

```

```

( 1) cost1 - cost2 = 0
( 2) cost1 - cost3 = 0
( 3) load1 - load2 = 0

```

⁴<http://www.stata.com/support/faqs/stat/chow3.html>

```
( 4) load1 - load3 = 0
( 5) d1 - d2 = 0
( 6) d1 - d3 = 0

F( 6, 80) = 0.74
Prob > F = 0.6152
```

Now, include two regressors of interest, two sets of interactions, two dummies, and a covariate, excluding baseline interaction terms and its dummy. Make sure you include two regressors of interest, cost and load.

```
. regress output cost load cost1 load1 d1 cost2 load2 d2 fuel
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	90
Model	116.234264	9	12.9149182	F(9, 80) =	648.89
Residual	1.59225866	80	.019903233	Prob > F =	0.0000
				R-squared =	0.9865
				Adj R-squared =	0.9850
Total	117.826522	89	1.32389351	Root MSE =	.14108

output	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
cost	1.111908	.0687766	16.17	0.000	.9750386 1.248778
load	1.703393	.7009252	2.43	0.017	.3085071 3.098278
cost1	-.0971444	.0777234	-1.25	0.215	-.2518189 .0575301
load1	.1145858	.9857632	0.12	0.908	-1.847146 2.076317
d1	1.359111	.8696813	1.56	0.122	-.3716095 3.089832
cost2	-.0925978	.0789714	-1.17	0.244	-.2497558 .0645603
load2	.9576281	1.020969	0.94	0.351	-1.074165 2.989421
d2	.723922	.7484569	0.97	0.336	-.7655546 2.213399
fuel	-.4615669	.0578651	-7.98	0.000	-.5767222 -.3464117
_cons	-11.11345	.4096207	-27.13	0.000	-11.92862 -10.29827

```
. test _b[cost1]=0, notest
. test _b[cost2]=0, accum notest
. test _b[load1]=0, accum notest
. test _b[load2]=0, accum notest
. test _b[d1]=0, accum notest
. test _b[d2]=0, accum
```

```
( 1) cost1 = 0
( 2) cost2 = 0
( 3) load1 = 0
( 4) load2 = 0
( 5) d1 = 0
( 6) d2 = 0

F( 6, 80) = 0.74
Prob > F = 0.6152
```